



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/500,655	02/09/2000	Scott C. Cottrille	777.327US1	2927	
26389 75	90 09/29/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC			NGUYEN, MAIKHANH		
1420 FIFTH AV SUITE 2800	VENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEATTLE, WA 98101-2347			2176		

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/500,655	COTTRILLE ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Maikhanh Nguyen	2176			

	Maiknann Nguyen	21/6	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 September 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the contract of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the contract of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the contract of the contrac	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or a statutory period for reply expire as the statutory period for reply expire as the statutory period for reply expires on:	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7			TEED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS .			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co 	nsideration and/or search (see NO	•	ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(222)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		March Clad and and	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		III be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:	*		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 	nt does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).			_
13. ☐ Other:		William Bas	. Dorlere SHORE
		PRIMARY EXA	

9/27/205

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that nowhere does Lakritz teach "extracting a localizable portion of a localizable Internet document." (page 10) In response, Lakritz teaches extracting (is extracting) a localizable portion (the translatable text) of a localizable Internet document (an HTML file) (see col.7, lines 21-27).

It is noted that Applicant's argument "a database is not the same as an Internet document" is not directed to the amended subject matter. The claim limitation "extracting a localizable portion of a localizable Internet document" clearly meets by Lakritz as shown above.

It is also noted that in the telephone interview on 09/09/2005, Applicant has agreed to amend the claim language to clarify/narrow the scope of the invention. However, Applicant has changed the claim language to present the invention in a broader scope. Accordingly, Lakritz meets the limitations as broadly claimed by Applicant.

Villam S. Borlere WILLIAM BASHORE PRIMARY EXAMINER 9/27/3005